

JUN 07 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 003932.P014

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application for:

Matthew Lennig

Serial No.: 09/576,116

Filing Date: May 22, 2000

For: PROSODY BASED ENDPOINT
DETECTION

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner: Azad, Abul K.

Group Art Unit: 2654

<u>Certificate of Transmission</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on:	
June 7, 2004	
(Date of Transmission)	
Julie Arango	
(Printed name)	
<i>Julie Arango</i>	6/7/04
(Signature)	(Date)

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on May 5, 2004, please reconsider the present application in view of the following remarks.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesy expressed during the telephone interview between Applicant's representative (the undersigned) and the Examiner on May 24, 2004. During that interview Applicant explained why the Lee reference does not disclose what the Examiner contended it discloses. The following discussion highlights the points discussed during that interview.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's statements in the "Response to Arguments" in the Final Office Action (pages 8-9) are in error. The Examiner has misinterpreted the Lee reference. Applicant maintains the arguments submitted in the